

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/709,980	06/10/2004	Ching-Wei Lin	TOPP0016USA	3979
27765	7590 06/17/2005	•	EXAM	INER
NORTH AMERICA INTERNATIONAL PATENT OFFICE (NAIPC)			HITESHEW, FELISA CARLA	
P.O.BOX 506 MERRIFIELD, VA 22116		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
William Illia	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		1722	

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/709,980	LIN, CHING-WEI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Felisa C. Hiteshew	1722				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
· · · <u></u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,7 and 11 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) 3-6;8-10 and 12-18 is/are objected.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and</li> </ul>	Irawn from consideration.					
Application Papers		• •				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		pplication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a I	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	received.				
	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/709,980

Art Unit: 1722

## **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### Oath/Declaration

The Oath/Declaration has not been received with the instant application. Please submit the oath/declaration as required by the M.P.E.P.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1,2,7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishida (U.S. Patent No. 6,534,789 B2).

Ishida teaches a method of manufacturing a thin film transistor matrix substrate excellent in step coverage, comprising on a flat surface of a glass substrate (1), an SiO2 layer (2) was deposited to a thickness of about 200 nm by plasma enhanced (PE) chemical vapor depositing (CVD) at a substrate temperature of about 300\*C, an amorphous silicon layer (3) was deposited to a thickness of about 50 nm by CVD. Laser annealing was performed for the amorphous silicon layer (3) to change it to a polysilicon layer (3).

Ishida does not teach a second, third or forth region being grown. However, in the absence of unobvious results, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in Application/Control Number: 10/709,980

**Art Unit: 1722** 

the art to continuously repeat in process, as stated and taught supra by Ishida, in order to create a plurality of regions. The motivation being that the procedure would ensure proper orientation of the instant invention.

A reference is good not only for what it teaches by direct anticipation but also for What one of ordinary skill might reasonably infer from the teachings. In re Opprect 12 USPQ 2d 1235, 1236 (CAFC 1989); In re Bode 193 USPQ 12; In re Lamberti 192 USPQ 278; In re Bozek 163 USPQ 545, 549 (CCPA 1969); In re Van Mater 144 USPQ 421; In re Jacoby 135 USPQ 317; In re LeGrice 133 USPQ 365; In re Preda 159 USPQ 342 (CCPA 1968).

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).
- 5. Claims 3-6, 8-11 and 12-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Felisa Hiteshew whose telephone number is (571) 272-1463. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Thursdays from 4:30 AM to 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin Utech, can be reached on (571) 272-1137. The

Art Unit: 1722

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-1463.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system. see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866- 217-9197 (toll-free).

FELISA HITESHEW PRIMARY EXAMINE